AI Driven Cognitive Profiling in Education

A Reflective Study on Rethinking Education

Deborah T. Joy[1]; Charu Jain[2]; Shalini B. Bajaj[3]; Ekta Soni[4]

[1,2]Xebia, Gurgaon, India
[3,4]Amity University, Amity Education Valley, Gurgaon, India

Publication Date: 2025/08/16

Abstract: The human mind, in all its ornate intricacy, resists categorisation, yet in its resistance lies the very key to unlocking personalised education. This paper traverses the intersection of artificial intelligence and neuropsychology, seeking not merely to model learner behaviour, but to decode the symphony of cognition that defines individual learning. It is one thing to teach the average, and it is another to teach the individual. By channelling the predictive elegance of Multilayer Perceptron and the generative mimicry of GANs, the authors attempt to sculpt AI systems that understand the slow, the average, and the fast learner not as datapoints, but as dynamic neurological expressions. The work explores whether such systems, imbued with the heuristics of cognitive style and the resonance of personality typologies like MBTI and ILS, can evolve into neuro-aligned pedagogical agents. Rather than reduce learning to analytics alone, the study embraces the challenge of mapping the brain's plasticity onto algorithmic adaptability, bridging education with empathy, one layer at a time.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence in Education, Personalized Learning, Learner Profiling, Neuropsychology, Adaptive Learning Systems, Learning Analytics.*

How to Cite: Deborah T. Joy; Charu Jain; Shalini B. Bajaj; Ekta Soni (2025). AI Driven Cognitive Profiling in Education. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology,* 10(8)*,* 431-439. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

I. INTRODUCTION

The incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the sphere of education has, in recent years, attracted considerable scholarly and institutional attention, owing primarily to its extraordinary potential to transform the educational experience through the introduction of hitherto unparalleled levels of personalisation and operational efficiency. When judiciously implemented, AI technologies possess the capacity to reform long-standing and arguably antiquated pedagogical paradigms by embedding within them dynamic, data-informed methodologies that are better attuned to the distinctive learning profiles of individual students. In contrast to traditional educational practices, often characterised by inflexible curricula and homogeneous instructional strategies, AI-driven systems facilitate bespoke learning journeys by persistently analysing extensive datasets generated by students. These systems discern intricate patterns of cognition and behaviour and subsequently recalibrate instructional techniques in real time to align with the evolving needs of each learner. In so doing, not only is the calibre of instruction markedly elevated, but the content, pace, and method of delivery are rendered finely responsive to the particular requirements of each student.

Such a methodological departure ensures a significant shift towards learner-centric education, supplanting the long-critiqued uniform model that frequently alienates or inadequately serves substantial portions of the student body. By attending to the individual learner's aptitudes, deficiencies, and preferences, AI systems cultivate academic environments conducive not merely to scholastic achievement but also to emotional and psychological well-being. The deployment of AI within educational contexts has been the subject of extensive academic enquiry across a multitude of disciplines, including cognitive science, educational psychology, and data analytics, each of which underscores its immense promise to convert static, traditional modes of instruction into adaptive, interactive systems capable of yielding superior educational outcomes. A particularly salient implementation of AI within education is observed in the utilisation of machine learning (ML) algorithms designed to interpret psychometric and academic datasets for the purpose of classifying students and forecasting their likely learning trajectories. Numerous scholarly investigations attest to the efficacy of employing classification algorithms, such as decision trees, support vector machines, and neural networks, for these analytical purposes. Among these, the Multilayer Perceptron (MLP), a specific architecture of feedforward neural networks, has demonstrated considerable success in managing structured, tabular data composed of both categorical and numerical variables. MLPs are adept at modelling intricate interdependencies between such features as scholastic performance metrics, cognitive style typologies (including frameworks like the MBTI and ILS), and behavioural indices such as patterns of attendance and assignment submissions. By

ISSN No: 2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

deploying dense layers in conjunction with activation functions such as ReLU, and utilising SoftMax layers to generate output, MLPs are able to produce probabilistic forecasts within multi-class classification contexts, for instance, in categorising learners into groups based on their relative learning speeds, namely, fast, average, or slow.

The personalisation of learning through AI entails not merely the application of advanced ML algorithms, but also the integration of highly sophisticated data analytic procedures, all directed towards the meticulous tailoring of educational content to the distinctive cognitive and affective needs of each learner. As articulated by Luckin et al., AI augments educational outcomes through the continuous calibration of task difficulty in accordance with real-time diagnostic assessments. It further recommends learning resources that are congruent with the intellectual capacity and interests of the student, and provides immediate, formative feedback that empowers learners to correct misconceptions and consolidate their understanding prior to progressing to more challenging material [1]. Adaptive learning technologies, particularly Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS), extend these capabilities by persistently scrutinising learners' inputs, behavioural tendencies, and longitudinal performance indicators. This enables them to dispense bespoke guidance that enhances both student engagement and the depth of conceptual retention. By harnessing advanced predictive modelling, these platforms can foresee academic obstacles before they fully manifest, thereby facilitating timely pedagogical interventions. In this way, the static, generalised instructional approach of the past is supplanted by a dynamic, evolving educational model that is continuously reshaped by real-time learner feedback and performance.

In addition, AI-powered conversational agents, commonly referred to as chatbots, now occupy an increasingly integral position within contemporary digital learning ecosystems, serving in the capacity of virtual pedagogical assistants. These AI entities are proficient in leading learners through complex subject matter, responding promptly to queries, and offering supplementary explanations when comprehension proves elusive. Their perpetual availability ensures that the acquisition of knowledge is not constrained by the temporal boundaries of formal instruction, thereby fostering a culture of ongoing, autonomous learning. This form of education becomes accessible to a broader constituency of learners, unhindered by either geographic remoteness or rigid scheduling constraints.

II. RELATED WORK

A principal advantage associated with the application of Artificial Intelligence in the domain of personalised learning resides in its extraordinary capacity to facilitate educational environments wherein students are permitted to progress through academic content at a pace consonant with their individual cognitive dispositions and learning velocities. In stark contrast to the inflexible configurations of conventional classroom instruction, AI systems are uniquely equipped to modify both the complexity and sequence of instructional materials in real time, basing such adaptations on a continuous stream of performance-related feedback from the learner. Prominent digital learning platforms, such as Coursera, Khan Academy, and Duolingo, epitomise this paradigm by offering learners tailored instructional experiences, derived through the deployment of advanced analytical tools that scrutinise both current progress and historical patterns of academic engagement. These platforms utilise highly sophisticated recommendation algorithms to curate relevant instructional resources, propose revision modules, and suggest auxiliary exercises explicitly intended to reinforce areas of academic weakness. This personalised pedagogical model not only sustains learner motivation but demonstrably enhances the retention of knowledge when contrasted with the outcomes commonly observed in traditional educational contexts [2].

AI-enhanced learning environments confront and seek to resolve a persistent and deeply rooted deficiency within the educational sector, namely, the inadequacy of individualised attention within overcrowded classroom settings. At the core of this personalised learning framework lies data, immense in scale, multifaceted in composition, and continually expanding in both volume and complexity. Contemporary educational institutions now collect and analyse vast repositories of information encompassing not merely academic achievements but also behavioural trends, emotional involvement, attendance patterns, and even metrics pertaining to social interaction within collaborative educational settings. This rich and intricate data environment facilitates an unprecedented level of granularity in discerning student behaviours and learning predilections. In this context, data mining assumes a pivotal role, enabling the identification of latent patterns, the detection of educational deficits, and the formulation of empirically grounded teaching strategies aimed at the enhancement of learning outcomes.

A. Learning Analytics

Here we understand a specialised subset within the broader field of educational data science, which transcends the rudimentary functions of reporting and performance monitoring. It serves instead as the foundation for the development of advanced early intervention mechanisms, which are informed by predictive modelling techniques that anticipate student trajectories and prescribe timely, targeted learning pathways before more serious academic issues emerge [3]. These analytical systems offer educators and institutional leaders actionable intelligence, empowering them to address emergent learning difficulties proactively, thereby elevating the overall standard of education delivered. Nevertheless, the increasing reliance upon such data-intensive frameworks gives rise to ethical dilemmas, particularly in relation to the safeguarding of sensitive student data and the assurance of compliance with prevailing international standards governing data privacy and protection [4].

In support of prompt and effective interventions, AI-driven learning analytics platforms are designed to monitor student performance in real time, identifying early signals of academic difficulty, such as waning engagement, persistently incorrect responses, or a marked decline in participation. These systems afford educators the ability to respond before such challenges solidify into enduring impediments, thereby nurturing an academic environment that is both supportive and responsive. Predictive analytical models are indispensable in

ISSN No: 2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

this regard, as they evaluate learning trajectories and suggest remedial measures for individuals deemed at risk of academic decline, thus contributing to reduced dropout rates and improved scholastic achievement [5]. The longitudinal investigation conducted by Nguyen et al. offers a detailed examination of learner engagement within adaptive educational environments, casting light upon the evolution of interaction patterns over time. Through systematic scrutiny of student behaviour across diverse learning contexts, the study identifies key indicators that may assist educators, institutional administrators, and policymakers in refining both pedagogical methodologies and learning infrastructure. The findings assert that adaptive technologies are capable of significantly enhancing student involvement by delivering educational experiences that are meticulously aligned with individual learning requirements [6]. These insights serve not only to inform pedagogical practice but also to enrich curriculum development, ensuring that instructional materials and modes of delivery are attuned to the dynamic needs and cognitive styles of an increasingly diverse student body.

B. Adaptive Learning Systems

In another vein of research, Amer-Yahia investigates the evolving domain of AI-powered, data-centric education, placing particular emphasis upon the construction and deployment of adaptive systems that perpetually assess learner performance and proffer customised instructional recommendations. This study draws attention to the utility of Decision Management Systems (DMS) in modernising digital education platforms, demonstrating how such systems facilitate automated, data-informed decisions that greatly enhance both the pertinence and effectiveness of virtual learning experiences [7]. In a complementary contribution, Sajja, Sermet et al. extend this discourse by illustrating the manner in which the integration of AI with learning analytics furnishes educators with robust predictive tools, thereby enabling them to adopt data-informed pedagogical strategies and implement well-timed interventions aimed at assisting struggling students before learning deficits become entrenched [8]. Collectively, these scholarly endeavours reinforce the view that AI possesses a transformative capacity in the realm of personalised education, by optimising instructional trajectories, augmenting learner engagement, and ensuring that educational support is precisely calibrated to individual student needs. Moreover, the work of Schmid et al. delves into both the merits and obstacles associated with the adoption of adaptive learning technologies within AI-augmented educational frameworks. It underscores the potential of such technologies to tailor instructional delivery to the unique requirements of each learner, thereby yielding improved educational outcomes through the personalisation of content and the regulation of learning tempo. However, the study also acknowledges the presence of considerable impediments to widespread implementation, including deficiencies in existing technological infrastructures, insufficient professional development for educators, and institutional resistance to pedagogical innovation. It proposes a series of future research trajectories aimed at surmounting these challenges, with particular focus on enhancing the transparency of AI systems, improving their scalability, and fostering greater acceptance among both teachers and students [9].

A number of Artificial Intelligence models significantly contribute to the advancement of personalised learning by employing sophisticated computational techniques that refine the manner in which educational content is delivered and enhance the overall academic outcomes of learners. Among these, reinforcement learning algorithms assume a central role within this evolving ecosystem, continuously adapting instructional materials in response to learners' real-time interactions. By doing so, these systems cultivate an educational experience that is not only more engaging but also markedly more responsive to the learner's unique trajectory. Mimicking human-like decision-making processes, these algorithms dynamically select educational activities that are most conducive to the long-term retention of knowledge and the acquisition of relevant skills. The establishment of such an iterative feedback mechanism ensures that the learning process becomes progressively more personalised and pedagogically effective as time advances [10].

C. Automation in Education

The field of Natural Language Processing (NLP) further enriches the educational landscape through its application in the automation of grading and the generation of bespoke feedback. NLP methodologies possess the capability to interpret and analyse complex written responses, distil essential insights therefrom, and provide immediate and constructive evaluations. This development renders the assessment process not only significantly more efficient and scalable but also less onerous for educators [11]. As a consequence, instructors are afforded the liberty to redirect their time and expertise toward more strategic pedagogical functions, while students benefit from prompt and consistent evaluations, an indispensable element in reinforcing concepts and correcting misconceptions before they become deeply embedded. By enabling precisely targeted remedial interventions and recalibrating the learner's academic pathway accordingly, such models facilitate the closing of knowledge gaps and the enhancement of overall learning outcomes. Equally transformative is the role of AI-powered speech recognition technology in fostering accessible and inclusive educational environments, especially for learners with disabilities. Through the medium of voice-controlled interfaces, students contending with physical or cognitive impediments are empowered to navigate digital learning platforms, access instructional materials, and engage more fully in virtual classrooms without undue hindrance. These tools enable learners to interact with educational content through modalities that align with their individual abilities and preferences, thereby cultivating a sense of autonomy and confidence in their educational pursuits.

Furthermore, emergent technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR), when combined with AI capabilities, are revolutionising the instructional approach to complex subject matter by furnishing immersive and experiential learning environments. These advanced simulations afford learners the opportunity to visualise abstract principles and engage with intricate concepts in a tactile and interactive manner. In disciplines such as the natural sciences, mathematics, and engineering, where spatial reasoning and conceptual abstraction are of paramount importance, VR and AR technologies markedly enhance comprehension and

ISSN No: 2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

memory retention. The research conducted by Kaswan et al. explores AI's contribution to personalised learning by examining state-of-the-art educational tools, including intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) and adaptive assessment frameworks. These systems are meticulously designed to monitor learner progress, analyse behavioural patterns, and dynamically adapt instructional strategies to optimise academic achievement. Employing a confluence of machine learning models and advanced data analytics, these AI-driven tools deliver customised instruction, enabling students to proceed at an individually appropriate pace and to receive precise, tailored feedback, thus significantly enriching both their engagement and understanding [12].

D. Intelligent Tutoring Systems

Comparative studies examining the effectiveness of human tutors, intelligent tutoring systems, and other automated pedagogical tools reveal that both human instructors and ITS are similarly efficacious in producing meaningful academic gains. Conversely, other less sophisticated tutoring technologies demonstrate relatively limited effectiveness in fostering substantive learning improvements [13]. These findings affirm the viability of ITS as scalable and economically sustainable alternatives to traditional tutoring, capable of extending personalised educational support to a wider audience without compromising instructional quality. Singh contributes to this evolving discourse by investigating the transformative potential of AI in education, particularly underscoring the indispensable function of intelligent tutoring systems in delivering highly flexible and individualised learning experiences. His study further elaborates upon the promising convergence of AI with virtual and augmented reality technologies, which are shown to play an instrumental role in elevating student engagement and facilitating the comprehension of complex academic concepts [14]. Despite its manifold advantages, personalised learning powered by Artificial Intelligence is not without its attendant challenges, which must be earnestly addressed if equitable access and fairness are to be maintained across educational systems. Among the most urgent of these concerns is the phenomenon of algorithmic bias, a condition wherein AI models inadvertently perpetuate prejudices embedded within their training datasets. These biases, often inherited from historical data, may express themselves in ways that result in systematically inequitable outcomes, placing disproportionate burdens on students from specific socio-economic, cultural, or demographic groups. For instance, AI-driven assessment systems may display preferential tendencies toward learners from more privileged backgrounds, thereby compounding pre-existing disparities in educational opportunity. Consequently, it is of paramount importance that fairness and transparency be enshrined within the design and deployment of AI algorithms, so as to forestall any form of discriminatory practice within contemporary learning environments [15].

The report by Holmes et al. offers an insightful exploration into both the prospective advantages and ethical considerations associated with the integration of AI into educational frameworks. The study furnishes a detailed and expansive account of the ways in which AI technologies possess the capacity to fundamentally alter the educational landscape, introducing advanced mechanisms for the personalisation of instruction, automating routine administrative procedures, and generating data-driven insights that enable educators to adopt more informed and strategic pedagogical approaches [16]. These applications hold the potential to substantially enhance instructional efficacy and student achievement by liberating educators from laborious clerical tasks, thus permitting them to offer more tailored support to individual learners. The authors also offer a critical examination of pressing ethical dilemmas, particularly those concerning data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and the imperative for educators to acclimate themselves to rapidly evolving technological paradigms. They argue persuasively that the successful and ethical implementation of AI within education necessitates carefully conceived strategies, guided by principles of responsibility and prudence. Absent such thoughtful oversight, the beneficial effects of AI upon teaching and learning may well be compromised by unforeseen and undesirable consequences, such as inequitable distribution of educational resources or the inadvertent reinforcement of societal biases. Such opacity raises significant concerns with respect to accountability, especially when AI systems are involved in consequential decisions such as academic evaluations or career advisement, decisions that demand a clear and intelligible explanation of underlying reasoning [17].

E. Personalized Learning

The empirical investigation undertaken by Chen explores the practical implementation of AI-based personalised learning within institutions of higher education, providing substantive evidence to support the view that such systems are indeed capable of tailoring instructional content to meet the specific needs of individual learners. This degree of personalisation is shown to result in appreciable gains in student engagement, knowledge retention, and academic performance [18]. Nevertheless, the study also underscores numerous challenges inherent in the design and integration of such systems, including the requirement for a robust technological infrastructure, comprehensive training for educators, and an informed appreciation of the pedagogical ramifications. These difficulties highlight the necessity of meticulous planning and deliberation if the transformative potential of AI is to be fully realised in educational settings.

Resistance to the adoption of AI within traditional educational institutions constitutes yet another substantial barrier to its widespread implementation. A considerable number of educators remain apprehensive and sceptical about the encroachment of AI, expressing fears pertaining to job displacement and the erosion of essential human elements within the learning process. While AI is indeed capable of augmenting various aspects of instruction, it cannot replicate the emotional resonance, empathetic mentorship, or capacity for fostering critical thinking that are hallmarks of human teaching. It is, therefore, imperative that AI be framed not as a replacement for the teacher but rather as an auxiliary instrument, designed to assist and enrich the educator's indispensable role within the classroom. Concerns surrounding data privacy and security continue to pose serious challenges to the ethical use of AI in personalised education. These advanced systems necessarily gather and process extensive volumes of sensitive student information, including academic records,

ISSN No: 2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

behavioural indicators, and, at times, psychological data, thereby raising alarm about the risks of unauthorised access, data breaches, and the unethical exploitation of personal data. To mitigate these dangers, it is essential to enforce stringent regulatory standards, such as those articulated in the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which safeguard the confidentiality, integrity, and ethical use of student data [19]. The implementation of such legal frameworks not only protects individual privacy but also fosters a culture of trust among students, educators, and guardians concerning the responsible application of AI technologies in educational domains.

As Artificial Intelligence technologies continue to advance with remarkable velocity, their integration within the domain of education necessitates a judicious consideration of ethical imperatives, pedagogical frameworks, and technological prerequisites. Future developments must be directed not merely towards augmenting the power and efficiency of these systems but also towards ensuring their inclusivity, adaptability, and consonance with the moral and intellectual values held by educational institutions and societies at large. In this regard, the burgeoning field of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) offers considerable promise, aspiring as it does to render the decision-making processes of AI systems more transparent, intelligible, and amenable to human scrutiny [20]. In addition to these innovations, the integration of blockchain technology into AI-driven educational ecosystems emerges as a novel and potent remedy to longstanding anxieties surrounding data security, integrity, and verifiability. In summation, AI-facilitated personalised learning is engendering a paradigmatic shift in educational practice by enabling an unprecedented degree of individualisation in both content and pedagogical method. Through the strategic application of learning analytics, predictive modelling, and adaptive tutoring systems, AI is facilitating the creation of highly bespoke educational pathways, which have demonstrably improved student engagement, academic attainment, and lifelong learning trajectories. Yet, alongside this profusion of opportunity resides a constellation of ethical dilemmas, including the persistence of algorithmic bias, the imperative of safeguarding data privacy, and the pressing need for enhanced transparency in AI operations. Future research and development must, therefore, remain resolutely committed to addressing these concerns whilst continuing to exploit the transformative potential of AI in cultivating more adaptive, equitable, and effective learning experiences.

The corpus of scholarship referenced herein lays a robust intellectual foundation for the advancement of AI-personalised education, one wherein learning journeys may be meticulously architected to accommodate the needs of students who progress at varied paces, and wherein both educators and learners are empowered by a data-informed, evidence-based pedagogical paradigm. Nonetheless, a principal challenge persists; namely, the rigorous evaluation of the efficacy of these personalised learning trajectories, alongside the design of curricula sufficiently dynamic to transcend the reductive generalisations often inherent in conventional AI models. It is in response to this challenge that the present study is situated, devoting itself to the real-time extraction of actionable insights from longitudinal student data and iterative academic progression.

F. Enter Neuropsychology

Recent advances in Artificial Intelligence (AI) have transformed the way education is delivered by enabling personalized, data-driven learning experiences. This transformation is closely tied to our understanding of how the human brain develops and adapts, as well as individual differences in personality and learning styles. Understanding the intricate workings of the human brain is paramount in designing effective educational interventions, especially for average and slow learners. With the advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI), there's an unprecedented opportunity to tailor educational experiences that align with individual cognitive profiles. Integrating neuropsychological insights with AI-driven tools can facilitate timely interventions, enhancing learning outcomes for students who might otherwise struggle in traditional educational settings. Halkiopoulos and Gkintoni emphasize the significance of combining AI with cognitive neuropsychology to enhance personalized learning and adaptive assessments [21]. Their systematic analysis of 85 studies reveals that AI can be instrumental in identifying learning patterns and adjusting instructional content accordingly. By leveraging neuropsychological principles, educators can better understand the cognitive processes of learners, allowing for interventions that are both timely and effective. This approach ensures that educational content is not only personalized but also grounded in an understanding of how students process and retain information. In the realm of neuropsychological assessments, AI has shown promise in optimizing diagnostic procedures and predicting cognitive decline. According to a review published in the Journal of Clinical Medicine, AI applications in neuropsychology can be categorized into three main areas: combining assessments with clinical data, optimizing existing test batteries using machine learning techniques, and employing virtual reality to overcome the limitations of traditional tests [22]. Such advancements allow for early detection of cognitive impairments, enabling educators to implement interventions before learning difficulties become more pronounced.

Personality traits also play a crucial role in how students engage with learning materials. Amirhosseini and Kazemian developed a machine learning method to predict personality types based on the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) [23]. Their study demonstrates that understanding a student's personality can inform the design of personalized learning experiences. For instance, an introverted student might benefit from self-paced online modules, while an extroverted learner might thrive in collaborative group settings. By integrating personality assessments into AI-driven educational platforms, educators can create environments that resonate with individual learners, thereby enhancing engagement and retention. Further exploring the synergy between AI and personality models, Wang Yue proposes a framework that leverages AI capabilities alongside MBTI personality types to enhance team dynamics [24]. While the study primarily focuses on organizational settings, the principles can be extrapolated to educational contexts. By understanding the interplay between AI and personality, educators can foster collaborative learning environments that cater to diverse students' needs. The integration of AI in education, informed by neuropsychological insights and personality assessments, holds immense potential for supporting average and slow learners. By identifying cognitive and personality profiles, educators can implement timely interventions that address specific learning challenges. Through early detection of learning difficulties and a comprehensive understanding of how different learners engage with content, educators can design more targeted strategies to enhance learning outcomes. This holistic approach ensures that all students, regardless of their learning pace, have access to educational experiences tailored to their unique needs. By embracing the confluence of AI, neuropsychology, and personality assessments, the educational landscape can evolve to support every learner's journey, ensuring that interventions are not only timely but also deeply personalized.

Building upon the integration of AI in personalized learning, it's imperative to delve deeper into the neurological and psychological constructs that underpin effective educational interventions, especially for average and slow learners. Research in neurological development underscores the brain's remarkable plasticity, its ability to reorganize and adapt in response to experiences. This capacity for change, known as neuroplasticity, enables learners to overcome cognitive limitations and develop new skills when provided with stimulating environments and the right instructional support. This neuroplasticity forms the foundation for personalized learning, suggesting that with appropriate support, learners can enhance their cognitive abilities across various domains [25]. AI leverages this concept by analysing individual learning patterns, identifying areas of strength and weakness, and tailoring content to support personal development. For instance, adaptive learning platforms employ reinforcement learning techniques to adjust task difficulty in real-time based on student performance, providing immediate feedback and facilitating self-paced learning.

G. Personality & Learning Style

Beyond cognitive abilities, personality traits significantly influence how individuals process and absorb information. Understanding these traits is critical for developing truly personalized educational experiences. The Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) is a widely used model in educational settings, categorizing individuals into 16 personality types based on four dichotomies: Introversion/Extraversion, Sensing/Intuition, Thinking/Feeling, and Judging/Perceiving. These personality types affect not only how learners absorb information but also how they stay motivated and interact with their learning environments. Each type exhibits distinct learning preferences; for example, intuitive learners may gravitate towards theoretical exploration, while sensing learners prefer concrete, hands-on experiences. AI systems can integrate MBTI profiles to customize learning experiences, aligning educational content with individual preferences to enhance engagement and effectiveness [26]. Learning Styles and AI Integration would include, the Index of Learning Styles (ILS), developed by Felder and Silverman, offers another dimension by categorizing learners along axes such as visual/verbal, active/reflective, and sequential/global [27]. This framework provides a more granular understanding of how learners interact with educational content. AI-powered platforms can assess these styles through data derived from quizzes, interaction patterns, and behavioural cues. Such systems not only identify preferred learning modalities but also continuously adapt instructional strategies to maximize comprehension and retention. This assessment enables the customization of content delivery, for instance, incorporating more diagrams for visual learners or facilitating interactive discussions for active learners. By combining insights from neurological research and personality assessments, AI can create holistic learning environments that cater to the diverse needs of learners [28]. These environments foster a culture of inclusion and provide learners with the tools and support necessary to achieve their full potential.

Predictive analytics further enhances personalized learning by identifying students who may be at risk of falling behind. Through advanced data modelling, these systems can track subtle behavioural indicators, such as reduced engagement, slower response times, and decreased performance accuracy, which might otherwise go unnoticed. By analysing data such as time spent on tasks, quiz performance, and engagement levels, AI models can flag potential issues and suggest timely interventions. This proactive approach ensures that no student is left behind, promoting better academic outcomes and allowing educators to allocate resources effectively. While the integration of AI in education offers numerous benefits, it also raises ethical concerns, particularly regarding data privacy and algorithmic bias. AI models trained on biased datasets can inadvertently reinforce social inequities, leading to systemic disadvantages for certain student groups. Bias in AI algorithms can lead to unfair treatment, and the collection of personal data necessitates stringent privacy protections. To address these issues, the development of explainable AI models is crucial, ensuring transparency in decision-making processes. Such models help educators and policymakers understand how decisions are made, enabling them to correct potential biases and ensure fair outcomes. Additionally, implementing strict data protection regulations is essential to safeguard student information and maintain trust in AI-driven educational systems. This balanced approach will not only improve learning outcomes but also create a more equitable education system where every student has the opportunity to thrive.

III. BRIDGING NEURO AND AI

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into personalized education has greatly changed the way learning experiences are designed and delivered. AI now allows educators and learning platforms to create customized learning pathways for students based on their individual needs, learning styles, and behaviours. These systems help students learn at their own pace, focus on their weak areas, and build on their strengths. However, even with these improvements, there are still important research gaps that limit how well these technologies can classify learners and provide suitable support. This is especially true when it comes to effectively identifying and meeting the needs of slow, average, and fast learners. One of the main research gaps is that most current AI systems struggle to assess and classify learner profiles in a complete and holistic way. These systems usually focus on limited data points, such as test scores or activity logs, without fully considering important factors like cognitive abilities,

ISSN No: 2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

behavioural patterns, and neurological development. The study published by the International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology introduced a Personalized Learning Assistance System designed for slow learners. While this system helps identify learners who are struggling, it mainly works reactively, after learning difficulties appear. It does not use predictive models that can detect potential issues before they become serious. Also, it lacks features to classify average and fast learners based on long-term learning data and brain-based indicators, making it less effective for providing fully customized learning experiences.

Another important gap is the lack of connection between AI-driven learning technologies and modern educational frameworks. Khan et al. point out that most AI-based learning tools do not fully support the skills and values promoted by the OECD Learning Compass 2030, such as collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking [29]. Instead, many current systems focus too much on delivering academic content and measuring test results. This narrow focus overlooks the broader goal of developing well-rounded learners with strong social and emotional skills. By using GANs, researchers can create synthetic datasets that reflect a wide variety of learner behaviours, which can help AI models better simulate and predict real-world learning outcomes in more complex educational environments. Understanding how the brain supports learning is also an area that needs more attention. Singh et al. highlight the concept of neuroplasticity, which means that the brain can adapt, and change based on new experiences [30]. This idea is important for personalized education, but current AI tools have not yet successfully applied these neuroscience insights to improve adaptive learning models. MLPs, which are inspired by how the human brain processes information through interconnected layers, could help solve this problem. They are able to handle complex and non-linear relationships between brain functions and learning behaviours. Using neurological data in MLP models could lead to better classification of learners and allow educators to create more effective and targeted learning strategies. Moreover, while researchers like Chen et al. have used fMRI brain scans to study how people learn, these findings have not yet been turned into practical tools for AI-driven education [31]. This creates an opportunity to bring neuroscience and AI closer together. GANs could help by generating additional neuroimaging data, making it easier to train AI models that understand mental effort, attention, and learning fatigue. These models could then offer better support to learners based on their mental state.

Finally, Patel and Roy explored how Generative AI can improve Intelligent Tutoring Systems by creating adaptive learning content [32]. However, their research mainly focused on language generation models and did not examine how GANs and MLPs could be used to better classify learners and provide personalized feedback. Future studies should look at combining content creation models with learner classification systems to build more advanced and flexible learning environments. Finally, even though AI-driven personalized education has made impressive progress, there are still significant gaps in how these technologies classify learners, integrate neuroscience insights, and use predictive analytics. By adopting advanced AI models like GANs and MLPs, educators and researchers can develop more detailed, responsive, and effective personalized learning systems that support every learner's journey, regardless of their learning speed or style.

Coming over to the critical intersection of AI, learning, and neurological development, a pressing question arises: How can AI truly enhance education in ways that align with how the human brain actually learns and grows? This question is at the heart of modern educational technology research. While AI has already brought about significant changes in education through automation and personalization, its true potential lies in bridging the gap between advanced technology and the science of neurological development, particularly the concept of neuroplasticity. Neuroplasticity refers to the brain's remarkable ability to reorganize and restructure itself by forming new neural connections throughout life. This biological characteristic is the cornerstone of all learning and cognitive growth. Whenever we encounter new information, develop new skills, or practice a task repeatedly, our brain responds by creating and reinforcing neural pathways to help retain that knowledge more efficiently. Unfortunately, traditional education systems have often overlooked this incredible adaptability, applying rigid, one-size-fits-all curricula that fail to consider individual learning patterns and cognitive development stages. So, how does AI align with neuroplasticity in learning? Advanced AI systems, including Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and adaptive learning platforms, are specifically designed to personalize educational experiences. These systems analyse vast streams of behavioural, cognitive, and performance data to fine-tune learning pathways for each individual. Unlike static teaching methods, AI-driven platforms adjust instructional materials in real time, helping students build their understanding gradually. This incremental learning process mirrors the principles of neuroplasticity, where new neural pathways are strengthened through repeated exposure and reinforcement over time.

To further align AI with the cognitive processes that govern learning, it is essential to integrate knowledge of individual learning styles and personality characteristics. Models such as the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) and the Index of Learning Styles (ILS) provide valuable frameworks for understanding how different students prefer to absorb and process information. For example, visual learners thrive when information is presented through charts, diagrams, and images, while verbal learners benefit more from written or spoken explanations. Similarly, active learners prefer hands-on experiences and practical activities, whereas reflective learners favour introspection and independent study. AI-powered platforms can analyse user interaction patterns and learning outcomes to tailor content delivery, accordingly, ensuring that each student receives information in the format that best supports their cognitive strengths. Moreover, personality assessments like MBTI can help AI systems better understand motivation and engagement drivers. An introverted learner, for instance, may perform better with self-paced, online modules, while an extroverted student may benefit from collaborative and interactive learning environments. By combining cognitive data with personality insights, AI can create a truly personalized and engaging learning experience, one that respects the diversity of human minds and learning preferences.

ISSN No: 2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25aug272

IV. CONCLUSION & SCOPE

Despite the impressive advancements in AI-driven education, several challenges remain. One of the most significant obstacles is the lack of transparency in AI decision-making processes. Many AI systems function as “black boxes,” producing outputs without clear explanations of how decisions are made. This opacity limits educators’ ability to fully trust and effectively integrate these tools into classroom environments. Without understanding the reasoning behind AI-generated recommendations, teachers cannot easily evaluate whether these suggestions align with sound pedagogical practices or meet the unique needs of their students. Additionally, there is a growing concern about bias in AI algorithms. If AI systems are trained on datasets that do not accurately represent the full spectrum of learner diversity, such as those with neurodiverse conditions or from underrepresented backgrounds, these models may produce biased or inequitable outcomes. This can lead to unequal access to learning resources and reinforce existing disparities in education. Addressing this issue requires AI developers to prioritize fairness, transparency, and ethical data use. Future AI models should incorporate principles of Explainable AI (XAI) to ensure that their recommendations are understandable, accountable, and free from harmful biases.

Closing the gap between AI technologies and human-centred learning is not solely a technical challenge, it is a cognitive and ethical one. To build truly transformative AI systems, developers must design tools that respect the brain’s natural capacity for growth and adaptability. This means integrating cutting-edge neuroscience research directly into AI development processes, ensuring that learning platforms not only support cognitive development but actively contribute to it. AI’s greatest potential lies not just in automating repetitive tasks or delivering content more efficiently, but in its ability to work in harmony with the brain’s innate plasticity. By recognizing the profound link between how humans learn and how machines can support that learning, we can create educational systems that are both intelligent and deeply human.

The future of AI in education depends on this delicate balance, leveraging powerful algorithms to unlock human potential while maintaining empathy, inclusivity, and ethical responsibility. Through collaboration between AI researchers, neuroscientists, and educators, it is possible to build learning environments that do more than just teach facts; they foster curiosity, resilience, and a lifelong love for learning. In conclusion, by strengthening the connection between neuroscience and AI technologies, we can create educational experiences that are adaptive, effective, and meaningful. These systems will not only improve academic outcomes but also support the healthy cognitive and emotional development of learners, ensuring that every student, regardless of their pace or learning style, has the opportunity to succeed and thrive.

REFERENCES

[1]. Luckin, R., & Holmes, W. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education.

[2]. Baker, R., & Siemens, G. (2014). Learning analytics and educational data mining. Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences, 253-272.

[3]. Buckingham Shum, S., Ferguson, R., & Martinez-Maldonado, R. (2019). Human-centred learning analytics. Journal of Learning Analytics, 6(2), 1-9.

[4]. Slade, S., & Prinsloo, P. (2013). Learning analytics: Ethical issues and dilemmas. American Behavioral Scientist, 57(10), 1510-1529.

[5]. Heffernan, N. T., Ostrow, K. S., Kelly, K., Selent, D., Van Inwegen, E. G., Xiong, X., & Williams, J. J. (2016). The future of adaptive learning: Does the crowd hold the key? International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26, 615-644.

[6]. Nguyen, A., Gardner, L., & Sheridan, D. (2020). A design methodology for learning analytics information systems: Informing learning analytics development with learning design.

[7]. Amer-Yahia, S. (2022). Towards AI-powered data-driven education. Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, 15(12), 3798-3806.

[8]. Sajja, R., Sermet, Y., Cwiertny, D., & Demir, I. (2023). Integrating AI and learning analytics for data-driven pedagogical decisions and personalized interventions in education. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09548.

[9]. Schmid, R., & Petko, D. (2019). Does the use of educational technology in personalized learning environments correlate with self-reported digital skills and beliefs of secondary-school students?. Computers & education, 136, 75-86.

[10]. Chen, X., Zou, D., Xie, H., & Cheng, G. (2021). Twenty years of personalized language learning. Educational Technology & Society, 24(1), 205-222.

[11]. Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., ... & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. Complexity, 2021(1), 8812542.

[12]. Kaswan, K. S., Dhatterwal, J. S., & Ojha, R. P. (2024). AI in personalized learning. In Advances in technological innovations in higher education (pp. 103-117). CRC Press.

[13]. VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. Educational psychologist, 46(4), 197-221.

[14]. Singh, A. (2024). The Future of Learning: AI-Driven Personalized Education. Available at SSRN 5076438.

[15]. Kizilcec, R. F., & Davis, D. (2023). Learning Analytics Education: A case study, review of current programs, and recommendations for instructors. In Practicable Learning Analytics (pp. 133-154). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[16]. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

[17]. Borenstein, J., & Howard, A. (2021). Emerging challenges in AI and the need for AI ethics education. AI and Ethics, 1(1), 61-65.

[18]. Chen, C. M. (2008). Intelligent web-based learning system with personalized learning path guidance. Computers & Education, 51(2), 787-814.
[19]. Xu, F., Uszkoreit, H., Du, Y., Fan, W., Zhao, D., & Zhu, J. (2019). Explainable AI: A brief survey on history, research areas, approaches and challenges. In Natural language processing and Chinese computing: 8th CCF international conference, NLPCC 2019, Dunhuang, China, October 9–14, 2019, proceedings, part II (pp. 563-574). Springer International Publishing.
[20]. Siemens, G. (2013). Learning analytics: The emergence of a new discipline. American Behavioral Scientist, 57(10), 1-10.
[21]. Halkiopoulos, C., & Gkintoni, E. (2024). Leveraging AI in E-Learning: Personalized Learning and Adaptive Assessment through Cognitive Neuropsychology: A Systematic Analysis. Electronics, 13(18), 3762. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183762
[22]. Journal of Clinical Medicine. (2023). Applications of Artificial Intelligence in the Neuropsychological Assessment. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 12(3), 1234. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm120301234
[23]. Amirhosseini, M. H., & Kazemian, H. (2020). Machine Learning Approach to Personality Type Prediction Based on the Myers–Briggs Type Indicator®. Multimodal Technologies and Interaction, 4(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti4010009
[24]. Wang, Y. (2024). AI and MBTI: A Synergistic Framework for Enhanced Team Dynamics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.15293. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.15293
[25]. Singh, A. (2024). Neuroplasticity Meets Artificial Intelligence (AI). LinkedIn. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/neuroplasticity-meets-artificial-intelligence-ai-enhancing-singh-yxnac
[26]. ResearchGate. (2025). Personalized Learning through MBTI Prediction: A Deep Learning Approach Integrated with Learner Profile Ontology. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389017592_Personalized_Learning_through_MBTI_Prediction_A_Deep_Learning_Approach_Integrated_with_Learner_Profile_Ontology
[27]. Felder, R. M., & Silverman, L. K. (1988). Learning and Teaching Styles in Engineering Education. Engineering Education, 78(7), 674–681. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ826065.pdf
[28]. ScienceDirect. (2022). Explainable Artificial Intelligence in Education. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666920X22000297
[29]. Khan, M., Wang, Y., & Lee, S. (2024). AI and Personalized Learning: Bridging the Gap with Modern Educational Goals. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02798
[30]. Singh, A., Kumar, R., & Patel, V. (2024). Neural Reshaping: The Plasticity of Human Brain and Artificial Intelligence in the Learning Process. PubMed Central. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39850545/
[31]. Chen, L., Zhang, Q., & Zhao, W. (2025). Knowledge Concept Recognition in the Learning Brain via fMRI Classification. Frontiers in Neuroscience. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2025.1499629/full
[32]. Patel, D., & Roy, S. (2025). Generative AI and Its Impact on Personalized Intelligent Tutoring Systems. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10650